



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

SEAN KAUFHOLD  
P. O. BOX 89626  
SIOUX FALLS SD 57109

**COPY MAILED**

**MAR 16 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Michael H. Goff  
Application No. 10/734,633  
Filed: December 15, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. FRA3320

**DECISION ON PETITION**

This is decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.181, filed January 19, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

On May 25, 2005, the Office mailed a Notice of Allowability, which set a statutory period of three months to submit corrected drawings. In the apparent absence of a timely filed response, the Office mailed a Notice of Abandonment on November 21, 2005.

In the present petition, petitioner asserted that the Office issued the Notice of Abandonment in error because petitioner mailed corrected drawings to the USPTO with the Notice of Allowance and a certificate of mailing on August 22, 2005. With the petition, petitioner submitted one sheet of corrected drawings and a copy of the certificate of mailing dated August 22, 2005, which listed the following items: the application number, "2 pages NOA, 1 check, 1 page Corrected Drawings."

A review of the record indicates that the USPTO received a Fee(s) Transmittal and a copy of the Notice of Allowance on August 25, 2005; however, the file does not contain any corrected drawings. Therefore, petitioner is relying on the certificate of mailing as evidence of the timely filing of the corrected drawings on August 22, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 711.03(c)(I)(B) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure:

Where a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8, but not a postcard receipt, is relied upon in a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, see 37 CFR 1.8(b) and MPEP § 512. As stated in 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) the statement that attests to the previous timely mailing or transmission of the correspondence must be on a personal knowledge basis, or to the satisfaction of the Director of the USPTO. If the statement attesting to the previous timely mailing is not made by the person who signed the Certificate of Mailing (i.e., there is no personal knowledge basis), then the statement attesting to the previous timely

Application No. 10/734,633

Page 2

mailing should include evidence that supports the conclusion that the correspondence was actually mailed (e.g., copies of a mailing log establishing that correspondence was mailed for that application).

Petitioner did not provide a statement under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3), attesting to a personal knowledge of the mailing of the original response on the date indicated on the certificate of mailing by the person who signed the certificate.

Accordingly, the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment is dismissed. Before the Office can withdraw the holding of abandonment, petitioner must submit a request for reconsideration and a statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3). A request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted with TWO (2) MONTHS of the mailing date of this decision. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136. The request for reconsideration should include a cover sheet entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181." No additional petition fee is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300  
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

*Christina Tartera Donnell*

Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions